CASE STUDY · 2026 · ENTERPRISE HEALTHCARE SaaS

Clinical Assessment Platform

Redesigning a legacy enterprise assessment experience through user research, workflow optimization, and information architecture.

ROLE	TIMELINE	INDUSTRY	PLATFORM	TOOLS
Senior Lead UX UI Designer (Solo)	6 – 9 Weeks	Healthcare SaaS	Desktop Web	Figma · Figjam · Go Print

CO-LEAD	EMAIL	PHONE	WEBSITE
Shrishail Mane	shrishail.ux@gmail.com	+91-8197178868	https://shrishailmane.framer.ai/

About Clinical Assessment Platform

The Enterprise Assessment Platform is a web-based application used by healthcare organizations to create, manage, review, and track clinical assessments throughout the patient care process. Healthcare professionals and operations teams use it to record assessment data, monitor progress, ensure compliance with clinical guidelines, and support informed decision-making. The platform also has a centralized workspace where users can quickly access assessment records, review statuses, assign tasks, and collaborate efficiently across different teams.

01 Project Overview

A six-week senior design engagement to modernize a legacy enterprise assessment product used by clinical operations teams.

PLATFORM	PLATFORM	ROLE
Enterprise Healthcare SaaS	Responsive Desktop-Web	Senior Lead UX UI Designer
Multi-tenant clinical platform	Desktop-first, tablet-supported	Lead designer on a two-person team

TIMELINE	METHODOLOGY	TEAM
Research · UX Audit · IA · Hi-Fi UI	Workflow map · UX Audit	1 PM · 5 Eng Lead · 4 Engineers
Wireframing · Prototype · Dev Handoff	Competitive Audit · Usability Testing	1 PM · 1 Group Lead

02 Understanding the Problem

The project started with a request from the Product Manager to refresh the outdated interface. As I worked with stakeholders and observed users, I found that the biggest pain points were related to workflow efficiency, navigation, and search—not visual design. The project evolved into a complete UX redesign focused on improving how people worked.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Clinical operations users could not reliably locate, triage, and complete assessments at the pace their workloads demanded. The interface looked dated, but the cost was **structural**: navigation reflected the system, not the work.

01	02	03	04
Requested UI Refresh	Research	Workflow Problems Uncovered	Product Redesign
Initial brief	Workshops · Interviews · Audit	Findings of framed scope	Workflow-first approach

03 Discovery

Eight-step path from kickoff to opportunity.

01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08
Stakeholder Workshop	Legacy Workflow Review	User Interviews	Task Analysis	UX Audit	Affinity Mapping	Insights	Opportunity
High-level	Step-by-step	6 sessions	Pain points	Baseline Data	Cross-insight	Re-framed	Redesign direction

Re-framed scope: from a UI refresh to a workflow redesign.

Affinity mapping made the cost of the existing IA legible to stakeholders. The redesign brief was rewritten before any high-fidelity work began.

04 Key Insights

Four patterns that re-shaped the redesign brief.

01
Users searched without context.

Most users knew exactly what patient they were looking for—they just wanted the database closed them. Isn't the way they thought about the work. The result: search-first tool, every time.

02
Users relied on manual scanning.

Without a sense of filters, experienced users built private heuristics — and spreadsheets — to compensate. The UI was being worked around, not used.

03
Navigation reflected systems, not workflows.

The sidebar mirrored product modules and back-end ownership, not the clinical shift. Common tasks crossed three or four sections of the app.

04
Dense interfaces reduced confidence.

When status, priority, and ownership were buried in 14-column tables, users were scanning their first task and re-check inside every record.

05 Design Strategy

Five decisions, each tied to a problem, a reason, and an expected outcome.

01	02	03	04	05
Progressive Search	Contextual Filters	Role-Based Navigation	Improved Data Table	Scannable Hierarchy
PROBLEM Search was using exact match only. SOLUTION Implemented typo-tolerant search for patient names. REASON Reduces frustration and helps users find records faster. OUTCOME Less manual searching, more time spent on tasks.	PROBLEM No way to filter, sort, or pull quick queries/reports. SOLUTION Added contextual filters and saved views. REASON Matches how staff actually work today. OUTCOME Less manual scrolling, more focused workflows.	PROBLEM Not mapped to models, and not role-aware. SOLUTION Redesigned navigation around user roles. REASON Reduces clicks and helps users find what they need faster. OUTCOME Faster, more intuitive mapping on common tasks.	PROBLEM Too much white space, inefficient and unclear. SOLUTION Redesigned table with clearer hierarchy, better spacing, and more scannable data. REASON Improves scanning and helps users find what they need faster. OUTCOME Faster, more confident data review.	PROBLEM Information weight was uneven. SOLUTION Improved visual hierarchy with clearer type, grouped sections, and stronger emphasis. REASON Helps users review more information faster. OUTCOME Improved confidence in the interface. Less re-checking.

06 Before vs After

Real screens. Real problems. The legacy product on the left — annotated with every friction point. The Material Design redesign on the right — each decision visible in the interface.

Assessment List View

Before – Legacy UI

After – Material Redesign

−33%	−50%	↓75%	↑ New
Nav items reduced	Table columns	Steps to find a record	Filter controls added
14 → 9	14 → 7	40 steps → 1 step	0 → 3

Search & Filter Experience

Before – Multi-Field Form Search

After – Material Contextual Search

Assessment Detail View

Before – Dense Form Layout

After – Material Detail Layout

07 Information Architecture

From a system-shaped tree to a workflow-shaped one.

Old IA — System-shaped

New IA — Workflow-shaped

Why the IA changed:

The new IA was reorganized around how users actually work instead of how the system is built. "My Queue" became the main starting point, while related tasks were grouped into "Workflows" to make navigation simpler and more intuitive.

08 Outcome

Qualitative shifts that mattered to the people doing the work.

Improved discoverability
Users found the right record without learning database syntax.

Better workflow clarity
Fewer dead ends, clearer next steps, and fewer navigation errors.

Reduced navigation complexity
Fewer duplicate screens, collapsed to eight workflow entries.

Improved usability
Decisions can be made from more, meaningful sorts by criteria.

Scalable design foundation
A unified Material component library now anchors adjacent modules.

Better collaboration
Design and engineering share a single source of truth.

Future-ready architecture
IA, search, and saved patterns are extensible without re-architecting.

Reflection

"Good enterprise UX is rarely about creating beautiful screens. It's about making complex work feel simple."

The project taught a lesson I keep coming back to: The interface was not the real problem. The workflow was. Enterprise UX begins by understanding the business problem before designing screens. Research did not decorate the project — it changed its direction.

Thank you.

Clinical Assessment Platform

Senior Lead UX UI Designer · Case Study · 2026

DESIGNED BY	DESIGN SYSTEM
Senior / Principal Product Design roles	Material Design 3 · Google Fonts
Enterprise SaaS · B2B Platforms · Healthcare/Tech	Roboto · MD Color system · MD Elevation

Website · LinkedIn · Email